

Best Available Copy

REMARKS

Claims 1 - 30 were pending in the present application for patent as of the Office Action of March 23, 2005. In the Office Action of March 23, 2005, the Examiner rejected claims 26 - 28 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as being anticipated by U.S. Publication Number 2003/0151077, Mathew et al., rejected claims 29 and 30 under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Mathew et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,649,476, Forbes, and indicated that claims 1 - 25 were allowable.

Claims 26 - 41 have been canceled.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless the applicants have argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

Believing to have responded to each and every rejection contained in the Office Action mailed March 23, 2005, the applicants respectfully request the reconsideration and allowance of claims 1 - 25; thereby placing the application in condition for allowance.


Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Freescall Semiconductor, Inc.
Law Department

Customer Number: 23125

By:



Daniel D. Hill
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 35,895
Telephone: (512) 996-6839
Fax No.: (512) 996-6854